

REMARKS

Applicants have carefully considered the July 23, 2008 Office Action, and the amendments above together with the comments that follow are presented in a bona fide effort to address all issues raised in that Action and thereby place this case in condition for allowance.

Claims 1 and 4-15 were pending in this application. Claims 1, 4-12 and 14 have been allowed. In response to the Office Action dated July 23, 2008, claims 13 and 15 have been cancelled without prejudice. Entry of the present response is respectfully solicited. It is believed that this response places this case in immediate condition for allowance. Hence, prompt favorable reconsideration of this case is solicited.

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2004/0109650, hereinafter "Kim") in view of Ono et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0158309, hereinafter "Ono"). Applicants submit that the rejection is moot in view of the cancellation of claim 15.

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kim in view of Ono and further in view of Caveney et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0128938). Applicants submit that the rejection is moot in view of the cancellation of claim 13.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter for claims 1, 4-12 and 14. Applicants note the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance included on page 5 of the Office action. Entry of that Statement into the record should not be construed as any agreement with or acquiescence by Applicants in the reasoning stated by the Examiner. The Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be used to interpret the cited claims, particularly to the extent if any that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Appln. No. 10/523,994

may differ from the express language of the claims and/or the otherwise proper construction of those claims. It is respectfully submitted that the allowed claims should be entitled the broadest reasonable interpretation and broadest range of equivalents that are appropriate in light of the language of the claims and the supporting disclosure, without reference to the Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

It is believed that pending claims 1, 4-12 and 14 are in condition for allowance. Applicants therefore respectfully request an early and favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application. If there are any outstanding issues which might be resolved by an interview or an Examiner's amendment, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' representative at the telephone number shown below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP



Brian K. Seidleck  
Registration No. 51,321

600 13<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3096  
Phone: 202.756.8000 BKS:dw  
Facsimile: 202.756.8087  
Date: **October 22, 2008**

**Please recognize our Customer No. 20277  
as our correspondence address.**